

# Residual Cancer Burden Can Predict Outcomes for People With Any Breast Cancer Type

“This meta-analysis of residual cancer burden provides real-world evidence of how patients are responding to neoadjuvant treatments,” said the study’s lead author, W. Fraser Symmans, MD.

December 18, 2019 By American Association for Cancer Research

---

A large meta-analysis of breast cancer patients showed that residual cancer burden after neoadjuvant chemotherapy is an accurate long-term predictor of recurrence and survival across all breast cancer subtypes, according to data presented at the 2019 [San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium \(SABCS\)](#), held Dec. 10-14.

“In recent years, many single-institution studies have shown that residual cancer burden after neoadjuvant chemotherapy can tell us a great deal about a patient’s prognosis after surgery,” said the study’s lead author, W. Fraser Symmans, MD, professor and director of research operations, Department of Pathology, at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center in Houston. “We undertook this meta-analysis to help determine whether this is true for all subtypes, and how generalizable previous findings might be.”

Symmans explained that residual cancer burden is assessed through several factors, including the size of the primary tumor, the percentage of the tumor that is invasive versus in situ, and the involvement of lymph nodes. A [calculator](#) hosted by MD Anderson calculates residual cancer burden index and assigns a classification of pathologic complete response, RCB-I (minimal burden), RCB-II (moderate burden), or RCB-III (extensive burden).

In this study, Symmans and colleagues from the I-SPY Clinical Trials Consortium compiled and analyzed data from 12 cancer centers or clinical trials, representing approximately 5,100 patients. Using mixed effect models, they examined associations between the RCB index and event-free survival (EFS) and distant recurrence-free survival (DRFS).

The residual cancer burden index was tightly associated with both EFS and DRFS, and was consistent across 12 clinical sites and all four types of breast cancer. In terms of EFS, the analysis of RCB categories showed:

- For hormone receptor (HR)-positive/HER2-negative, 11 percent of patients were classified as

having a pCR, 11 percent as RCB-I, 53 percent as RCB-II, and 25 percent as RCB-III. At the 10-year follow-up, 19 percent of the pCR group had had a recurrence or had died, compared with 14 percent of the RCB-I group, 31 percent of the RCB-II group, and 48 percent of the RCB-III group.

- For HR-positive/HER2-positive, 38 percent of patients were classified as having a pCR, 20 percent as RCB-I, 33 percent as RCB-II, and 8 percent as RCB-III. At the 10-year follow-up, 9 percent of the pCR group had had a recurrence or had died, compared with 17 percent of the RCB-I group, 36 percent of the RCB-II group, and 55 percent of the RCB-III group.
- For HR-negative/HER2-positive, 69 percent of patients were classified as having a pCR, 11 percent as RCB-I, 16 percent as RCB-II, and 4 percent as RCB-III. At the 10-year follow-up, 7 percent of the pCR group had had a recurrence or had died, compared with 15 percent of the RCB-I group, 37 percent of the RCB-II group, and 40 percent of the RCB-III group.
- For HR-negative/HER2-, 43 percent of patients were classified as having a pCR, 12 percent as RCB-I, 33 percent as RCB-II, and 11 percent as RCB-III. At the 10-year follow-up, 14 percent of the pCR group had had a recurrence or had died, compared with 25 percent of the RCB-I group, 39 percent of the RCB-II group, and 75 percent of the RCB-III group.

“The measurement of RCB index is strongly prognostic, allowing us to measure risk of recurrence with confidence,” Symmans said. “This meta-analysis of residual cancer burden provides real-world evidence of how patients are responding to neoadjuvant treatments, and calibration of RCB index to prognostic risk enables us to determine the most appropriate next steps for breast cancer patients.”

Symmans said that while not all cancer centers routinely collect data on residual cancer burden, this analysis shows that pathologists can implement it with accurate results, adding to its potential as a predictor of recurrence within breast cancer subtypes.

Symmans said one limitation of the study is that it is based on data from multiple institutions, leading to some variation in clinical methods, the handling of specimens, and possible other factors. Some data on residual cancer burden were collected prospectively and some were collected retrospectively.

“Looking ahead, if we can standardize the reporting of residual cancer burden, that will only improve its usefulness in determining long-term prognosis,” Symmans said.

This research was funded by the Department of Defense, a National Institutes of Health program grant, the Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas, and the Breast Cancer Research Foundation. Symmans co-holds a patent for a mathematical formula used in MD Anderson's RCB index.

[This announcement](#) was originally released on December 13, 2019, by the American Association for Cancer Research. It is republished with permission.

---

© 2026 Smart + Strong All Rights Reserved.

<http://beta.docker.cancerhealth.com/article/residual-cancer-burden-can-predict-outcomes-people-breast-cancer-type>